Jones, Stephanie

From: crwald@aol.com

Sent: Wednesday, June 23, 2021 2:58 PM

To: ED, State Board of Ed

Subject: [External] Pennsylvania Science Standards

ATTENTION: This email message is from an external sender. Do not open links or attachments from unknown sources. To report suspicious email, forward the message as an attachment to CWOPA SPAM@pa.gov.

To: Ms. Karen Molchanov, State Board of Education Board,

I offer these comments not as a credentialed educator, but instead as a Pennsylvania citizen concerned that a democracy can only succeed if its voting citizens are educated. Thus, Pennsylvania's Pennsylvania Science Standard are crucial to ensuring that democracy does succeed, in a way beneficial to all its constituents.

Unfortunately, the Science Standards as currently written do not do this. The failure to include biodiversity in the standards is a shortcoming that must be addressed. I do not expect you to include biodiversity--as well as related subjects such as ecology, and ecosystems--based on my thoughts. But please consider the thoughts of 126 Nobel Laureates who arrive at the same conclusion regarding biodiversity.

https://www.nationalacademies.org/news/2021/06/our-planet-our-future-statement-signed-by-126-nobel-laureates-delivered-to-world-leaders-ahead-of-g-7-summit This is just the latest of many such statements by Nobel Laureates and other leading experts.

Pennsylvania, along with the rest of the world, is loosing species at an alarming rate. This loss of species is causing the unraveling of Pennsylvania's ecosystems. Invasive species, climate change, and habitat loss are just three of the causes.

Further, this ecosystem degradation is a threat to human health both mentally and physically. There has been much written about the need for "green time" outdoors for our mental well-being, and native species have been shown to have the most positive affect. cohab-policy-brief1-en.pdf (cbd.int); lsconserving-biodiversity-the-key-to-good-mental-health? (theconversation.com); Biodiversity and Health (who.int)

However, zoonotic diseases are another reason for protecting biodiversity. It has been shown that the loss of biodiversity has increased the incidence and spread of Lyme disease. https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/25544324/; The ecology of infectious disease: Effects of host diversity and community composition on Lyme disease risk | PNAS ; Biodiversity and disease: a synthesis of ecological perspectives on Lyme disease transmission - PubMed (nih.gov)

Lastly, biodiversity loss threatens economic well-being as well: <u>5 reasons why biodiversity matters to health and the economy | World Economic Forum (weforum.org)</u>

To not include biodiversity in the Pennsylvania Science Standards is an omission that must be addressed.

I have only scratched the surface with the above references. Should you wish any additional information regarding this I can be reached at: crwald@aol.com; or (717) 318-1903.

Sincerely,

Rodger Waldman 6594 Waldmann Lane Seven Valleys, Pa. 17360

